| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/743,231 | BEDARD ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Hal I. Kaplan | 2836 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: Claims 1, 2, and 4-18 allowed |
| (1) <u>Hal I. Kaplan</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Timothy J. Keefer</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 11 January 2007 | Time: <u>2:00 p.m.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | · |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Attorney authorized Examiner's Amendment to correct a minor claim 1. Attorney also explained some unclear language to the | informality in the specification | |
| Part III. | • | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand | he examiner will provide a record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | nt/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |